Evolution, 57(3), 2002, p. 690

ERRATUM

In the article by Hisashi Sato, ''Invasion of Unisexuals in Hermaphrodite Populations of Animal-Pollinated Plants: Effects of Pollination Ecology and Floral Size-Number Trade-Offs,'' (*Evolution* 56: 2374–2382) the quality of Figure 2 was unsatisfactory. A corrected version of the figure, which clearly shows the distinction between ''both females and males'' and ''only females,'' is printed below. This distinction was not clear in the previous figure.



FIG. 2. Area of parameter space resulting in females and males can increase in frequency when they are introduced into a hermaphroditic population with ESS allocation. $V(A)$ was assumed to be (a) a logarithmic function and (b) a sigmoidal function of investment in attractive structures. For each of the $V(A)$ functions, this evaluation was conducted for each of the values of α, three values of s (selfing rate), and two values of d (inbreeding depression), each presented in a separate panel. $\beta = 1$ was assumed for all the calculations.